

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 and 20-21 have been rejected as anticipated by Bazarjani (US 6,005,506). Bazarjani does not disclose the step of “generating a force for controlling the physical variable based upon the control command,” as required by claim 1. The Examiner cites col. 8, lines 1-3 for this element, but this passage simply states that the baseband processor 2270 performs additional signal processing. There is no “force” generated by Bazarjani. The Examiner mentions that there are electrical signals in the processor that inherently create an E-field that generates a force. First, this is an unreasonable interpretation of the claim language, since this would be true of anything electric). Second, even if some minute force were generated (and not cancelled by the signals flowing in the opposite direction on an adjacent piece of silicon), that force would be random and not “control the physical variable based upon the control command.”

Claims 20 and 21 clarify that the “physical variable” and the “force” are sound or vibration, which also distinguishes Bazarjani. The Examiner argues that the signals received through the antenna may contain audio information, but this does not mean that the signals are sound. The signals received by an antenna are radio or electromagnetic signals, not sound.

New claims 22 and 23 also further distinguish Bazarjani, which does not disclose an actuator or reducing the physical variable.

If any additional fees are ever required, please charge to Deposit Account No. 19-2189.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

/John E. Carlson/

John E. Carlson

Registration No. 37,794

400 W. Maple, Suite 350

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

Dated: February 2, 2007